

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. 10/31/2003 Savino S. Camporeale 9005/21 9950 10/699,605 **EXAMINER** 27774 07/26/2005 MAYER, FORTKORT & WILLIAMS, PC NGUYEN, KHIEM M 251 NORTH AVENUE WEST ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2ND FLOOR WESTFIELD, NJ 07090

DATE MAILED: 07/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\sim
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0,
Office Action Summary	10/699,605	CAMPOREALE ET	TAL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Khiem Nguyen	2839	
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence add	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 136(a). In no event, however, may a sply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO to te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06	May 2005.		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and are subject.	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 5/6/65 is/are: a) ☑ ac Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeya ection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burents. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in a light in the contract of	. Application No n received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC)-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/699,605

Art Unit: 2839

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japan' 104 in view of EP' 086.

Japan' 104 (see figure 5) discloses substantially the claimed sealed, optical fiber assembly and an inherent method of forming a sealed, optical fiber assembly, comprising a plurality of optical fibers 24, a ferrule 19 having a first aperture extending through a first end of the ferrule and a plurality of second apertures 31 extending through a second end of the ferrule opposing the first end such that a continuous passage is formed between the first aperture and each of the second apertures, said first aperture having a diameter greater than a diameter of the second apertures and being sufficiently large in size to receive the plurality of optical fibers therein, such that the plurality of optical fibers traverses said first aperture and each of the optical fibers traverse a respective one of the second apertures; an adhesive material 27 securing each of the optical fibers to the first apertures and to said respective one of the second apertures. Japan' 104 lacks a solder material bonding each of said optical fibers to an entrance to said respective one of the second apertures. EP' 086 shows

the use of tin lead solder 68 for bonding optical fiber tube 61 to an entrance tube 14. Therefore, it would have been obvious to provide a solder material bonding each of the optical fibers to an entrance of a respective one of the second apertures for the Japan' 104 optical fiber assembly in view of the teachings of EP' 086. The use of a solder material for bonding would provide a hermetically sealed optical fiber assembly for Japan' 104 (see page 9, lines 19-20 of EP' 086).

Page 3

As to claims 2 and 4, figure 6 of Japan'104 shows that it is possible to fill the first aperture with the adhesive material.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khiem Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272-2096. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, TC Patel can be reached on 571 272-2098. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,605

Art Unit: 2839

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Khiem Nguyen
Khiem Nguyen
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2839